arXiv:1512.01276v2 [hep-ph] 21 Feb 2016

February, 2016

Heavy-Flavor-Conserving Hadronic Weak Decays of Heavy Baryons

Hai-Yang Cheng[1], Chi-Yee Cheung[1], Guey-Lin Lin[2], Yeu-Chung Lin[3], Tung-Mow Yan[4], Hoi-Lai Yu[1]

[1]Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica
Taipei, Taiwan 115, Republic of China

[2] Institute of Physics, National Chiao Tung University
Hsinchu 30010, Taiwan, Republic of China

[3] Department of Physics, National Taiwan University
Taipei 10617, Taiwan, Republic of China

[4] Laboratory for Elementary Particle Physics, Cornell University
Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

Abstract

More than two decades ago, we studied heavy-flavor-conserving weak decays of heavy baryons within the framework that incorporates both heavy-quark and chiral symmetries. In view of the first observation of $\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda_b^0 \pi^-$ by LHCb recently, we have reexamined these decays and presented updated predictions. The predicted rates for $\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda_b^0 \pi^-$ in the MIT bag and diquark models are consistent with experiment. The major theoretical uncertainty stems from the evaluation of baryon matrix elements. The branching fraction of $\Xi_c \to \Lambda_c \pi$ is predicted to be of order 10^{-4}. It is suppressed relative to $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_b \to \Lambda_b \pi)$ owing to the shorter lifetime of Ξ_c relative to Ξ_b and the destructive nonspectator W-exchange contribution. The kinematically accessible weak decays of the sextet heavy baryon Ω_Q are $\Omega_Q \to \Xi_Q \pi$. Due to the absence of the $\mathcal{B}_6 - \mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}}$ transition in the heavy quark limit and the $\mathcal{B}_6 - \mathcal{B}_6$ transition in the model calculations, $\Omega_Q \to \Xi_Q \pi$ vanish in the heavy quark limit.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently LHCb has measured for the first time the heavy-flavor-conserving and strangeness-changing weak decay $\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda_b^0 \pi^-$ [1]. The relative rate is measured to be

$$\frac{f_{\Xi_b^-}}{f_{\Lambda_b^0}} \mathcal{B}(\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda_b^0 \pi^-) = (5.7 \pm 1.8^{+0.8}_{-0.9}) \times 10^{-4}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $f_{\Xi_b^-}$ and $f_{\Lambda_b^0}$ are $b \to \Xi_b^-$ and $b \to \Lambda_b^0$ fragmentation fractions, respectively. Assuming $f_{\Xi_b^-}/f_{\Lambda_b^0}$ in the range between 0.1 and 0.3, based on the measured production rates of other strange particles relative to their non-strange counterparts [1], the branching fraction $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda_b^0 \pi^-)$ will lie in the range from $(0.57 \pm 0.21)\%$ to $(0.19 \pm 0.07)\%$.

More than two decades ago, we studied heavy-flavor-conserving weak decays of heavy baryons within the framework that incorporates both heavy-quark and chiral symmetries [2]. Our motivation was as follows. Unlike hadronic weak decays of heavy mesons, a rigorous and reliable approach for describing the nonleptonic decays of heavy baryons does not exist. Nevertheless, there is a special class of weak decays of heavy baryons that can be studied in a more trustworthy way, namely, heavy-flavor-conserving nonleptonic decays. Examples are the singly Cabibbo-suppressed decays $\Xi_Q \to \Lambda_Q \pi$ and $\Omega_Q \to \Xi_Q \pi$. [1] The idea is simple: In these decays, only the light quarks inside the heavy baryon will participate in weak interactions; that is, while the two light quarks undergo weak transitions, the heavy quark behaves as a "spectator". (An additional nonspectator contribution to the charmed baryon decay will be discussed shortly below.) As the emitted light mesons are soft, the $\Delta S = 1$ weak interactions among light quarks can be handled by the well known short-distance effective Hamiltonian. This special class of weak decays can usually be tackled more reliably than the conventional heavy baryon weak decays. The synthesis of the heavy quark and chiral symmetries [3, 4] provides a natural setting for investigating these reactions [2]. The weak decays $\Xi_Q \to \Lambda_Q \pi$ with $Q = c, b$ were also studied in [5–8].

The combined symmetries of heavy and light quarks severely restrict the weak interactions allowed. In the symmetry limit, it was found in [2] that $\mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}} - \mathcal{B}_6$ and $\mathcal{B}_6^* - \mathcal{B}_6$ nonleptonic weak transitions cannot occur, where $\mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}}$ and $\mathcal{B}_6$ are antitriplet and sextet heavy baryons, respectively, and $\mathcal{B}_6^*$ the spin-3/2 heavy baryon field. Symmetries alone permit three types of transitions: $\mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}} - \mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}}$, $\mathcal{B}_6 - \mathcal{B}_6$ and $\mathcal{B}_6^* - \mathcal{B}_6^*$ transitions. However, in both the MIT bag and diquark models, only $\mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}} - \mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}}$ transitions have nonzero amplitudes.

For heavy-flavor-conserving decays of charmed baryons such as $\Xi_c^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-$ and $\Xi_c^+ \to \Lambda_c^+ \pi^0$, there is an additional contribution arising from the W-exchange diagram $cs \to dc$ which is absent in the bottom-baryon sector. Hence, $\Xi_c \to \Lambda_c \pi$ can proceed through W-exchange which is a nonspectator effect. It is known that W-exchange plays a dramatic role in charmed baryon decays as it is not subject to helicity and color suppression [9].

In our original paper [2], we did not provide numerical predictions for bottom baryons such as $\Xi_b \to \Lambda_b \pi$ since Λ_b was the only bottom baryon that had been studied then. In this work we would like to revisit heavy-flavor-conserving decays of both charmed and bottom baryons and present updated predictions.

[1] The decays $\Omega_Q \to \Xi_Q' \pi$ are kinematically prohibited.

This work is organized as follows. In Sec. II we set up the formalism suitable for analyzing the heavy-flavor-conserving decays of heavy baryons. Some model calculations are presented in Sec. III. Sec. IV gives our conclusion and discussions. Appendix A is devoted to the MIT bag model evaluation of baryon matrix elements.

II. FORMALISM

The effective $\Delta S = 1$ weak Hamiltonian at the scale $\mu = m_c$ reads [10] [2]

$$H_{\rm eff}^{\Delta S=1} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{ud}^* V_{us} (c_1 O_1 + c_2 O_2) + h.c., \tag{2.1}$$

and the four-quark operators are given by

$$O_1 = (\bar{d}u)(\bar{u}s), \qquad O_2 = (\bar{d}s)(\bar{u}u), \tag{2.2}$$

with $(\bar{q}_1 q_2) \equiv \bar{q}_1 \gamma_\mu (1-\gamma_5) q_2$. We shall use $c_1 = 1.216$ and $c_2 = -0.415$ obtained at the scale $\mu = m_c$ and in the naive dimensional regularization scheme with $\Lambda_{\overline{\rm MS}}^{(4)} = 325$ MeV [10]. As pointed out in [6], there is an additional "nonspectator" W-exchange contribution to heavy-flavor-conserving decays of charmed baryons governed by

$$\tilde{H}_{\rm eff}^{\Delta S=1} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cd}^* V_{cs} (c_1 \tilde{O}_1 + c_2 \tilde{O}_2) + h.c., \tag{2.3}$$

with $\tilde{O}_1 = (\bar{d}c)(\bar{c}s)$ and $\tilde{O}_2 = (\bar{c}c)(\bar{d}s)$.

The general $|\Delta S| = 1$ effective weak chiral Lagrangian responsible for heavy-flavor-conserving hadornic weak decays of heavy baryons is given by [2]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{\Delta S=1} &= h_1 {\rm tr}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{\bar{3}} \xi^\dagger \lambda_6 \xi \mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}}) + h_2 {\rm tr}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_6 \xi^\dagger \lambda_6 \xi \mathcal{B}_6) \\ &+ h_3 {\rm tr}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_6 \xi^\dagger \lambda_6 \xi \mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}}) + h.c. + h_4 {\rm tr}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_6^{*\mu} \xi^\dagger \lambda_6 \xi \mathcal{B}_{6\mu}^*), \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where λ_6 is one of the SU(3) generators

$$\lambda_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.5}$$

and the pseudoscalar meson field is described by the ξ term

$$\xi = \exp\left(i \frac{M}{f_\pi}\right), \tag{2.6}$$

[2] The full effective $\Delta S = 1$ Hamiltonian at $\mu < m_c$ is given by [10]

$$H_{\rm eff}^{\Delta S=1} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{ud}^* V_{us} \sum_{i=1}^{10} (z_i + \tau y_i) O_i + h.c.,$$

with $\tau = -(V_{td}^* V_{ts})/(V_{ud}^* V_{us})$. The numerical results of z_i and y_i can be found in [10]. At the scale $\mu = m_c$, $y_1 = y_2 = 0$ and $z_3, \cdots, z_{10}$ are numerically irrelevant relative to z_1 and z_2. Hence, we change the notation from z_1, z_2 to c_1, c_2.

with $f_\pi = 132$ MeV and

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{6}} & \pi^+ & K^+ \\ \pi^- & -\frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{6}} & K^0 \\ K^- & \overline{K}^0 & -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\eta \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.7}$$

The antisymmetric antitriplet $\mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}}$ and symmetric sextet $\mathcal{B}_6$ in Eq. (2.9) are dictated by the matrices

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Lambda_b^0 & \Xi_b^0 \\ -\Lambda_b^0 & 0 & \Xi_b^- \\ -\Xi_b^0 & -\Xi_b^- & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Lambda_c^+ & \Xi_c^+ \\ -\Lambda_c^+ & 0 & \Xi_c^0 \\ -\Xi_c^+ & -\Xi_c^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{B}_6 &= \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_b^+ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Sigma_b^0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Xi_b^{\prime 0} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Sigma_b^0 & \Sigma_b^- & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Xi_b^{\prime -} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Xi_b^{\prime 0} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Xi_b^{\prime -} & \Omega_b^- \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_c^{++} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Sigma_c^+ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Xi_b^{\prime +} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Sigma_c^+ & \Sigma_c^0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Xi_c^{\prime 0} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Xi_c^{\prime +} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Xi_c^{\prime 0} & \Omega_c^0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

for bottom and charmed baryons, respectively.

The combined symmetries of heavy quark and chiral symmetries severely restrict the weak transitions allowed. We have shown in [2] that $h_3 = 0$ and $h_2 = -h_4 \equiv h'$ in the symmetry limit. As a consequence,

$$\mathcal{L}^{\Delta S=1} = h\,\mathrm{tr}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{\bar{3}}\xi^\dagger\lambda_6\xi\mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}}) + h'\mathrm{tr}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_6\xi^\dagger\lambda_6\xi\mathcal{B}_6) - h'\mathrm{tr}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_6^{*\mu}\xi^\dagger\lambda_6\xi\mathcal{B}_{6\mu}^*), \tag{2.9}$$

with $h \equiv h_1$. Hence, there cannot be $\mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}} - \mathcal{B}_6$ and $\mathcal{B}_6^* - \mathcal{B}_6$ weak transitions in the heavy quark limit. Symmetries alone permit only three types of transitions: $\mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}} - B_{\bar{3}}$, $\mathcal{B}_6 - \mathcal{B}_6$ and $\mathcal{B}_6^* - \mathcal{B}_6^*$ transitions. The heavy quark symmetry predicts that the couplings h and h' are independent of heavy quark masses. Furthermore, it was pointed out in [2] that h' vanishes in the MIT-bag-model and diquark-model calculations.

The general amplitude for $\mathcal{B}_i \to \mathcal{B}_f + P$ is given by

$$M(\mathcal{B}_i \to \mathcal{B}_f + P) = i\bar{u}_f(A - B\gamma_5)u_i, \tag{2.10}$$

where A and B are the S- and P-wave amplitudes, respectively. In the heavy quark limit, the diquark of the antitriplet baryon $\mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}}$ is a scalar diquark with $J^P = 0^+$, while diquark of the $\mathcal{B}_6$ is an axial-vector diquark with $J^P = 1^+$. Therefore, the weak diquark transition is $0^+ \to 0^+ + 0^-$ for $\mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}} \to \mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}} + P$ and $1^+ \to 1^+ + 0^-$ for $\mathcal{B}_6 \to \mathcal{B}_6 + P$. Based on the conservation of angular momentum, it is easily seen that the parity-conserving P-wave amplitude vanishes in $\mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}} \to \mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}} + P$ decays, but not so in $\mathcal{B}_6 \to \mathcal{B}_6 + P$ decays.

The general amplitude consists of factorizable and nonfactorizable ones

$$M(\mathcal{B}_i \to \mathcal{B}_f + P) = M(\mathcal{B}_i \to \mathcal{B}_f + P)^{\mathrm{fact}} + M(\mathcal{B}_i \to \mathcal{B}_f + P)^{\mathrm{nf}}. \tag{2.11}$$

While the factorizable amplitude vanishes in the soft meson limit, the nonfactorizable is not. We consider the latter amplitude first and take $\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda_b^0\pi^-$ as an example. The S-wave parity-violating amplitude can be evaluated using the chiral Lagrangian or current algebra. We find from the chiral Lagrangian Eq. (2.9) that

$$M(\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda_b^0\pi^-)_{\text{S wave}} = -\langle\Lambda_b^0\pi^-|\mathcal{L}^{\Delta S=1}|\Xi_b^-\rangle = -\frac{ih}{f_\pi}\bar{u}_{\Lambda_b}u_{\Xi_b}, \tag{2.12}$$

and hence the S-wave amplitude

$$A(\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda_b^0\pi^-) = -\frac{h}{f_\pi} = -\frac{1}{f_\pi}\langle\Lambda_b^0|\mathcal{L}^{\Delta S=1}|\Xi_b^0\rangle. \tag{2.13}$$

For the short-distance effective Hamiltonian (2.1), one can use current algebra to evaluate the S-wave amplitude. The soft pion relation leads to

$$\langle\pi^-\Lambda_b^0|H_{\rm eff}^{\rm PV}|\Xi_b^-\rangle = -\frac{i}{f_\pi}\langle\Lambda_b^0|[Q_5^+, H_{\rm eff}^{\rm PV}]|\Xi_b^-\rangle = \frac{i}{f_\pi}\langle\Lambda_b^0|H_{\rm eff}^{\rm PC}|\Xi_b^0\rangle. \tag{2.14}$$

Therefore, the S-wave amplitude reads

$$A(\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda_b^0\pi^-) = \frac{1}{f_\pi}\langle\Lambda_b^0|H_{\rm eff}|\Xi_b^0\rangle \tag{2.15}$$

in agreement with Eq. (2.13) derived from the chiral Lagrangian.

The P-wave amplitude of the $\mathcal{B}_{\bar3} \to \mathcal{B}_{\bar3} + P$ decay vanishes in the heavy quark limit. The parity-conserving pole diagrams vanish due to the vanishing $\mathcal{B}_{\bar3}\mathcal{B}_{\bar3}\pi$ coupling and $\mathcal{B}_6 - \mathcal{B}_{\bar3}$ weak transition in heavy quark limit. In [2] we have considered possible contributions to the P-wave amplitude arising from Ξ_Q and Ξ_Q' mixing. However, this is only one of many possible $1/m_Q$ corrections. For reason of consistency, we should work in the heavy quark limit.

Due to the absence of the $\mathcal{B}_6 - \mathcal{B}_{\bar3}$ transition in the heavy quark limit and $\mathcal{B}_6 - \mathcal{B}_6$ and $\mathcal{B}_6^* - \mathcal{B}_6^*$ transitions in the model calculations, it is easily seen that there is no nonfactorizable contribution to $\Omega_Q \to \Xi_Q\pi$.

There are factorizable contributions which vanish in the soft meson limit

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\pi^-\Lambda_c^+|H_{\rm eff}|\Xi_c^0\rangle^{\rm fac} &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt2}V_{ud}^*V_{us}\left(c_1+\frac{c_2}{3}\right)\langle\pi^-|(\bar du)|0\rangle\langle\Lambda_c^+|(\bar us)|\Xi_c^0\rangle,\\
\langle\pi^0\Lambda_c^+|H_{\rm eff}|\Xi_c^+\rangle^{\rm fac} &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt2}V_{ud}^*V_{us}\left(c_2+\frac{c_1}{3}\right)\langle\pi^0|(\bar uu)|0\rangle\langle\Lambda_c^+|(\bar ds)|\Xi_c^+\rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

In terms of the form factors defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\Lambda_c^+|(\bar us)|\Xi_c^0\rangle &= \bar u_{\Lambda_c}[f_1^{\Lambda_c\Xi_c}\gamma_\mu + f_2^{\Lambda_c\Xi_c}i\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^\nu + f_3^{\Lambda_c\Xi_c}q_\mu\\
&\quad - g_1^{\Lambda_c\Xi_c}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 - g_2^{\Lambda_c\Xi_c}i\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^\nu\gamma_5 - g_3^{\Lambda_c\Xi_c}q_\mu\gamma_5]u_{\Xi_c},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\pi^-\Lambda_c^+|H_{\rm eff}|\Xi_c^0\rangle^{\rm fac} &= -i\frac{G_F}{\sqrt2}V_{ud}^*V_{us}\left(c_1+\frac{c_2}{3}\right)f_\pi\bar u_{\Lambda_c}[(m_{\Xi_c}-m_{\Lambda_c})f_1^{\Lambda_c\Xi_c}\\
&\quad +(m_{\Xi_c}+m_{\Lambda_c})g_1^{\Lambda_c\Xi_c}\gamma_5]u_{\Xi_c}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Since the form factor $g_1^{\Lambda_c\Xi_c}$ vanishes in the heavy quark limit [3], only the S-wave amplitude receives a factorizable contribution

$$A(\Xi_c^0 \to \Lambda_c^+\pi^-) = -\frac{G_F}{\sqrt2}V_{ud}^*V_{us}\left(c_1+\frac{c_2}{3}\right)f_\pi(m_{\Xi_c}-m_{\Lambda_c})f_1^{\Lambda_c\Xi_c}. \tag{2.19}$$

Likewise,

$$A(\Xi_c^+ \to \Lambda_c^+\pi^0) = -\frac{G_F}{2}V_{ud}^*V_{us}\left(c_2+\frac{c_1}{3}\right)f_\pi(m_{\Xi_c}-m_{\Lambda_c})f_1^{\Lambda_c\Xi_c}. \tag{2.20}$$

Heavy-flavor-conserving decays of charmed baryons receive additional non-spectator W-exchange contribution from Eq. (2.3):

$$\begin{aligned}
A(\Xi_c^0 \to \Lambda_c^+\pi^-) &= \frac{1}{f_\pi}\langle\Lambda_c^+|H_{\rm eff}|\Xi_c^+\rangle + \frac{1}{f_\pi}\langle\Lambda_c^+|\tilde H_{\rm eff}|\Xi_c^+\rangle\\
&\quad - \frac{G_F}{\sqrt2}V_{ud}^*V_{us}\left(c_1+\frac{c_2}{3}\right)f_\pi(m_{\Xi_c}-m_{\Lambda_c})f_1^{\Lambda_c\Xi_c},\\
A(\Xi_c^+ \to \Lambda_c^+\pi^0) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt2 f_\pi}\langle\Lambda_c^+|H_{\rm eff}|\Xi_c^+\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt2 f_\pi}\langle\Lambda_c^+|\tilde H_{\rm eff}|\Xi_c^+\rangle\\
&\quad - \frac{G_F}{2\sqrt2}V_{ud}^*V_{us}\left(c_2+\frac{c_1}{3}\right)f_\pi(m_{\Xi_c}-m_{\Lambda_c})f_1^{\Lambda_c\Xi_c}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

To proceed, we write

$$c_1O_1 + c_2O_2 = \frac{1}{2}(c_1+c_2)(O_1+O_2) + \frac{1}{2}(c_1-c_2)(O_1-O_2). \tag{2.22}$$

Since $O_1 \pm O_2$ is symmetric (antisymmetric) in color indices, only $O_1 - O_2$ contributes. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Lambda_c^+ | H_{\rm eff} | \Xi_c^+ \rangle &= \frac{G_F}{2\sqrt{2}} V_{ud}^* V_{us} (c_1 - c_2) X, \\
\langle \Lambda_c^+ | \tilde{H}_{\rm eff} | \Xi_c^+ \rangle &= \frac{G_F}{2\sqrt{2}} V_{cd}^* V_{cs} (c_1 - c_2) Y,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
X &\equiv \langle \Lambda_c^+ | (\bar{d}u)(\bar{u}s) - (\bar{u}u)(\bar{d}s) | \Xi_c^+ \rangle, \\
Y &\equiv \langle \Lambda_c^+ | (\bar{d}c)(\bar{c}s) - (\bar{c}c)(\bar{d}s) | \Xi_c^+ \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

To sum up, the S-wave amplitudes of heavy-flavor-conserving decays of heavy baryons read

$$\begin{aligned}
A(\Xi_c^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-) &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2} f_\pi} V_{ud}^* V_{us} \left[\frac{1}{2}(c_1 - c_2)\,(X - Y) - \left(c_1 + \frac{c_2}{3} \right) f_\pi^2 (m_{\Xi_c} - m_{\Lambda_c}) f_1^{\Lambda_c \Xi_c} \right], \\
A(\Xi_c^+ \to \Lambda_c^+ \pi^0) &= \frac{G_F}{2\sqrt{2} f_\pi} V_{ud}^* V_{us} \left[\frac{1}{2}(c_1 - c_2)\,(X - Y) - \left(c_2 + \frac{c_1}{3} \right) f_\pi^2 (m_{\Xi_c} - m_{\Lambda_c}) f_1^{\Lambda_c \Xi_c} \right], \\
A(\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda_b^0 \pi^-) &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2} f_\pi} V_{ud}^* V_{us} \left[\frac{1}{2}(c_1 - c_2) X - \left(c_1 + \frac{c_2}{3} \right) f_\pi^2 (m_{\Xi_b} - m_{\Lambda_b}) f_1^{\Lambda_b \Xi_b} \right], \\
A(\Xi_b^0 \to \Lambda_b^0 \pi^0) &= \frac{G_F}{2\sqrt{2} f_\pi} V_{ud}^* V_{us} \left[\frac{1}{2}(c_1 - c_2) X - \left(c_2 + \frac{c_1}{3} \right) f_\pi^2 (m_{\Xi_b} - m_{\Lambda_b}) f_1^{\Lambda_b \Xi_b} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

In terms of the amplitude given in Eq. (2.10), the decay rate of $\mathcal{B}_i \to \mathcal{B}_f + P$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma &= \frac{p_c}{8\pi} \left\{ \frac{(m_i + m_f)^2 - m_P^2}{m_i^2} |A|^2 + \frac{(m_i - m_f)^2 - m_P^2}{m_i^2} |B|^2 \right\}, \\
&= \frac{p_c}{8\pi} \left\{ \frac{(m_i + m_f)^2 - m_P^2}{m_i^2} |A|^2 + \frac{4 p_c^2}{(m_i + m_f)^2 - m_P^2} |B|^2 \right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

with p_c being the c.m. three-momentum in the rest frame of $\mathcal{B}_i$. As noticed in passing, the P-wave amplitudes of interest vanish in the heavy quark limit.

III. MODEL CALCULATIONS

The four-quark matrix element $\langle \Lambda_c^+ | \mathcal{H}_{\rm eff}^{\rm PC} | \Xi_c^+ \rangle$ was evaluated in [2] using two different models: the MIT bag model [11] and the diquark model [12]. We first consider the bag model evaluation. The baryon matrix elements X and Y defined in Eq. (2.24) are obtained from Appendix A to be

$$X = 32\pi Y_2, \qquad Y = 8\pi Z_2, \tag{3.1}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_2 &= \int_0^R r^2 dr (u_d u_u + v_d v_u)(u_s u_u + v_s v_u), \\
Z_2 &= \int_0^R r^2 dr (u_d u_c + v_d v_c)(u_s u_c + v_s v_c),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where $u(r)$ and $v(r)$ are the large and small components of the quark wave function, respectively, given in Eq. (A3). In the bag model the form factors have the expression [2]

$$f_1^{\Lambda_c\Xi_c} = f_1^{\Lambda_b\Xi_b} = -4\pi \int_0^R r^2 dr (u_u u_s + v_u v_s). \tag{3.3}$$

Numerically,

$$Y_2 = 1.66 \times 10^{-4}\,\mathrm{GeV}^3, \qquad Z_2 = 2.11 \times 10^{-4}\,\mathrm{GeV}^3, \qquad f_1^{\Lambda_c\Xi_c} = -0.985\,, \tag{3.4}$$

where we have employed the following bag parameters

$$m_u = m_d = 0, \quad m_s = 0.279\ \mathrm{GeV}, \quad m_c = 1.551\ \mathrm{GeV}, \quad R = 5\ \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}. \tag{3.5}$$

For the evaluation of the baryon matrix elements in the diquark model, we notice that through the Fierz identify (see Eq. (100) of [13])

$$(\bar{q}_1 q_3)(\bar{q}_2 q_4) = 2\bar{q}_{1i}(1+\gamma_5) q^c_{2j}\, \bar{q}^c_{4j}(1-\gamma_5) q_{3i} \tag{3.6}$$

with q^c being the charge conjugate quark field, the operator $O_- = (\bar{d}u)(\bar{u}s) - (\bar{u}u)(\bar{d}s)$ can be recast to a local diquark-current form [14]

$$O_- = 2(du)^\dagger_{\bar{3}} (us)_{\bar{3}}, \tag{3.7}$$

where $(us)_{\bar{3}} \equiv \epsilon_{ijk}\bar{u}^c_j(1-\gamma_5)s_k$ with i,j,k,l being the color indices is a color-antitriplet scalar and pseudoscalar diquark. Note there is no color-sextet diquark-current contribution to O_-. In terms of the diquark currents, the expression of O_- has a simple interpretation: It annihilates a scalar or pseudoscalar (us) diquark in the initial baryon and creates a scalar or pseudoscalar (du) diquark in the final baryon. Following [12] to define the diquark decay constant $g_{qq'}$

$$\langle 0|\epsilon_{ijk}\bar{q}^c_j \gamma_5 q'_k|(qq')^{0^+}_l\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\delta_{il}\, g_{qq'} \tag{3.8}$$

for a 0^+ scalar diquark and applying the vacuum insertion approximation, we obtain

$$X \equiv \langle \Lambda_c^+|(\bar{d}u)(\bar{u}s) - (\bar{u}u)(\bar{d}s)|\Xi_c^+\rangle = \frac{2}{3\, m_{\rm di}} g_{du} g_{us}, \tag{3.9}$$

where $m_{\rm di}$ is the diquark mass. We shall follow [12] to use

$$(c_1 - c_2) g_{du} g_{us} = 0.066 \pm 0.013\ \mathrm{GeV}^4, \tag{3.10}$$

which is practically scale independent. As for the diquark mass, we choose [2]

$$m_{\rm di} = m_{\Lambda_c^+} - m_c \approx 785\ \mathrm{MeV} \tag{3.11}$$

with the constituent charm quark mass ~ 1.5 GeV.

There is an alternative approach for the evaluation of X. As shown in [6], this baryon matrix element can be related to the matrix elements x and y defined by

$$x = -\langle \Lambda_c^+|(\bar{c}\gamma_\mu c)(\bar{d}\gamma^\mu s)|\Xi_c^+\rangle, \qquad y = -\langle \Lambda_c^+|(\bar{c}_i\gamma_\mu c_k)(\bar{d}_k\gamma^\mu s_i)|\Xi_c^+\rangle, \tag{3.12}$$

which in turn can be extracted from the lifetime differences of charmed baryons. For details, see Refs. [6, 7].

Likewise, for the baryon matrix element Y we have

$$Y = \langle \Lambda_c^+|2(dc)^\dagger_{\bar{3}}(cs)_{\bar{3}}|\Xi_c^+\rangle. \tag{3.13}$$

TABLE I: Masses and lifetimes of charmed and bottom baryons in units of MeV and sec, respectively, taken from [15].

	Λ_c^+	Ξ_c^+	Ξ_c^0	Ω_c^0
Mass	2286.46 ± 0.14	$2467.93^{+0.28}_{-0.40}$	$2470.85^{+0.28}_{-0.40}$	2695.2 ± 1.7
Lifetime	$(200 \pm 6) \times 10^{-15}$	$(442 \pm 26) \times 10^{-15}$	$(112^{+13}_{-10}) \times 10^{-15}$	$(69 \pm 12) \times 10^{-15}$
	Λ_b^0	Ξ_b^0	Ξ_b^-	Ω_b^-
Mass	5619.51 ± 0.23	5794.4 ± 1.2	5791.8 ± 0.5	6048.0 ± 1.9
Lifetime	$(1.466 \pm 0.010) \times 10^{-12}$	$(1.560 \pm 0.040) \times 10^{-12}$	$(1.464 \pm 0.031) \times 10^{-12}$	$(1.57^{+0.23}_{-0.20}) \times 10^{-12}$

TABLE II: The magnitude of the S-wave amplitudes $|A|$ and branching fractions of heavy-flavor-conserving charmed and bottom baryon decays. The predictions based on the MIT bag model (diquark model) are exhibited in the first (second) entry.

Mode	$\lvert A\rvert$	$\mathcal{B}$	Mode	$\lvert A\rvert$	$\mathcal{B}$
$\Xi_c^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-$	1.7×10^{-7}	0.87×10^{-4}	$\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda_b^0 \pi^-$	2.3×10^{-7}	2.0×10^{-3}
				4.3×10^{-7}	6.9×10^{-3}
$\Xi_c^+ \to \Lambda_c^+ \pi^0$	0.9×10^{-7}	0.93×10^{-4}	$\Xi_b^0 \to \Lambda_b^0 \pi^0$	1.3×10^{-7}	5.9×10^{-4}
				2.7×10^{-7}	2.5×10^{-3}

In the heavy quark limit, the charmed baryon is a bound state of the c quark and the diquark (qq'). Hence, the diquark model is not suitable for estimating the matrix element Y.

With the measured lifetimes and masses of heavy baryons (see Table I), the predicted branching fractions of heavy-flavor-conserving charmed and bottom baryon decays are summarized in Table II calculated using the MIT bag model and the diquark model. Since the baryon matrix element Y cannot be reliably estimated based on the diquark model, predictions for charmed baryons are made only for the bag model. The calculated $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda_b^0 \pi^-) \approx (2.0 - 6.9) \times 10^{-3}$ are consistent with the LHCb measurement. The experimental branching fraction suffers from the large uncertainty with the ratio $R = f_{\Xi_b^-}/f_{\Lambda_b^0}$. As pointed out in [16], the uncertainty in R can be greatly reduced by measuring, for example, $\Xi_b^- \to J/\psi \Xi^-$ and $\Lambda_b^0 \to J/\psi \Lambda$ at LHCb. These two modes are related via SU(3) symmetry.

The branching fraction of $\Xi_c \to \Lambda_c \pi$ is predicted to be of order 10^{-4}. Although its S-wave amplitude is of similar size as that of $\Xi_b \to \Lambda_b \pi$ (see Table II), its rate is smaller owing to the shorter lifetime of Ξ_c relative to Ξ_b. Moreover, $\Gamma(\Xi_c \to \Lambda_c \pi)$ is suppressed by the W-exchange contribution.

In this work we did not calculate $\Omega_Q \to \Xi_Q \pi$ rates as they vanish in the heavy quark limit. Some crude estimates given in [8] indicate $\mathcal{B}(\Omega_b \to \Xi_b \pi) \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-6})$ and $\mathcal{B}(\Omega_c \to \Xi_c \pi) < \mathcal{O}(10^{-6})$.

IV. CONCLUSION

In light of the first observation of $\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda_b^0\pi^-$ by LHCb recently, we have reexamined the heavy-flavor-conserving decays of heavy baryons and presented updated predictions. The predicted rates for $\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda_b^0\pi^-$ in the MIT bag model and the diquark model are consistent with experiment. The major theoretical uncertainty stems from the evaluation of baryon matrix elements. The branching fraction of $\Xi_c \to \Lambda_c\pi$ is predicted to be of order 10^{-4}. It is suppressed relative to $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_b \to \Lambda_b\pi)$ owing to the shorter lifetime of Ξ_c relative to Ξ_b and the destructive nonspectator W-exchange contribution. The kinematically allowed weak decays for the sextet heavy baryon Ω_Q are $\Omega_Q \to \Xi_Q\pi$. Due to the absence of the $\mathcal{B}_6 - \mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}}$ transition in the heavy quark limit and the $\mathcal{B}_6 - \mathcal{B}_6$ transition in the model calculations, $\Omega_Q \to \Xi_Q\pi$ vanish in the heavy quark limit.

Finally, it is worth remarking that, analogous to the heavy-flavor-conserving nonleptonic weak decays discussed in this work, there is a special class of weak radiative decays in which heavy flavor is conserved, for example, $\Xi_Q \to \Lambda_Q\gamma$ and $\Omega_Q \to \Xi_Q\gamma$. However, the dynamics of these radiative decays is more complicated than that of their counterpart in nonleptonic weak decays, e.g., $\Xi_Q \to \Lambda_Q\pi$. In any event, it merits an investigation.

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported in part by the Ministry of Science and Technology of R.O.C. under Grant Nos. 104-2112-M-001-022 and 104-2112-M-009-021.

Appendix A: Matrix elements in the bag model

Consider the four-quark operator $O_- = (\bar{q}_1 q_3)(\bar{q}_2 q_4)$. For the evaluation of the baryon matrix element of O_- in the bag model, see [9]. The operator can be written as $O_- = 6(\bar{q}_1 q_3)_1(\bar{q}_2 q_4)_2$, where the superscript i indicates that the quark operator acts only on the ith quark in the baryon wave function. In the bag model the parity-conserving matrix elements have the expression [9]

$$\begin{aligned}
\int r^2 dr \langle q_1 q_2|(\bar{q}_1 q_3)_1(\bar{q}_2 q_4)_2|q_3 q_4\rangle &= (-X_1 + X_2) - \frac{1}{3}(X_1 + 3X_2)\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2, \\
\int r^2 dr \langle q_1 q_2|(\bar{q}_1 q_4)_1(\bar{q}_2 q_3)_2|q_3 q_4\rangle &= (X_1 + X_2) - \frac{1}{3}(-X_1 + 3X_2)\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2,
\end{aligned} \tag{A1}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
X_1 &= \int_0^R r^2 dr (u_1 v_2 - v_1 u_2)(u_3 v_4 - v_3 u_4), \\
X_2 &= \int_0^R r^2 dr (u_1 u_2 + v_1 v_2)(u_3 u_4 + v_3 v_4),
\end{aligned} \tag{A2}$$

where R is the radius of the bag and $u(r), v(r)$ are the large and small components of the quark wave function, respectively, defined by

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} iu(r)\chi \\ v(r)\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}\chi \end{pmatrix}. \tag{A3}$$

Applying the relation

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_{1+}\sigma_{2-} + \sigma_{1-}\sigma_{2+}) + \sigma_{1z}\sigma_{2z} \tag{A4}$$

and the wave functions

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_c^+ &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}\left[u^\uparrow d^\downarrow c^\uparrow - u^\downarrow d^\uparrow c^\uparrow - d^\uparrow u^\downarrow c^\uparrow + d^\downarrow u^\uparrow c^\uparrow + (13) + (23)\right], \\
\Xi_c^+ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}\left[u^\uparrow s^\downarrow c^\uparrow - u^\downarrow s^\uparrow c^\uparrow - s^\uparrow u^\downarrow c^\uparrow + s^\downarrow u^\uparrow c^\uparrow + (13) + (23)\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{A5}$$

with obvious notation for permutation of quarks, it is straightforward to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Lambda_c^+ | (\bar{d}u)(\bar{u}s) | \Xi_c^+ \rangle &= 6(4\pi)\langle \Lambda_c^+ | b_{1d}^\dagger b_{1u} b_{2u}^\dagger b_{2s} [Y_2(1 - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2) - Y_1(1 + \frac{1}{3}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2) | \Xi_c^+ \rangle \\
&= 16\pi Y_2, \\
\langle \Lambda_c^+ | (\bar{u}u)(\bar{d}s) | \Xi_c^+ \rangle &= 6(4\pi)\langle \Lambda_c^+ | b_{1u}^\dagger b_{1u} b_{2d}^\dagger b_{2s} [Y_2(1 - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2) + Y_1(1 + \frac{1}{3}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2) | \Xi_c^+ \rangle \\
&= -16\pi Y_2,
\end{aligned} \tag{A6}$$

with Y_2 given by Eq. (3.2). Likewise,

$$\langle \Lambda_c^+ | (\bar{d}c)(\bar{c}s) | \Xi_c^+ \rangle = 4\pi(Z_2 - Z_1), \qquad \langle \Lambda_c^+ | (\bar{c}c)(\bar{d}s) | \Xi_c^+ \rangle = -4\pi(Z_2 + Z_1), \tag{A7}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_1 &= \int_0^R r^2 dr (u_d v_c - v_d u_c)(u_s v_c - v_s u_c), \\
Z_2 &= \int_0^R r^2 dr (u_d u_c + v_d v_c)(u_s u_c + v_s v_c).
\end{aligned} \tag{A8}$$

Eq. (3.1) then follows from Eqs. (A6) and (A7).

---

[1] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], "Evidence for the strangeness-changing weak decay $\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda_b^0 \pi^-$", arXiv:1510.03829 [hep-ex].

[2] H. Y. Cheng, C. Y. Cheung, G. L. Lin, Y. C. Lin, T. M. Yan and H. L. Yu, "Heavy flavor conserving nonleptonic weak decays of heavy baryons", Phys. Rev. D **46**, 5060 (1992).

[3] T. M. Yan, H. Y. Cheng, C. Y. Cheung, G. L. Lin, Y. C. Lin and H. L. Yu, "Heavy quark symmetry and chiral dynamics", Phys. Rev. D **46**, 1148 (1992) [Phys. Rev. D **55**, 5851(E) (1997)].

[4] M. B. Wise, "Chiral perturbation theory for hadrons containing a heavy quark", Phys. Rev. D **45**, 2188 (1992); G. Burdman and J. Donoghue, "Union of chiral and heavy quark symmetries", Phys. Lett. B **280**, 287 (1992).

[5] S. Sinha and M. P. Khanna, "Beauty-conserving strangeness-changing two-body hadronic decays of beauty baryons", Mod. Phys. Lett. A **14**, 651 (1999).

[6] M. B. Voloshin, "Weak decays $\Xi_Q \to \Lambda_Q \pi$", Phys. Lett. B **476**, 297 (2000) [hep-ph/0001057].

[7] X. Li and M. B. Voloshin, "Decays $\Xi_b \to \Lambda_b \pi$ and diquark correlations in hyperons", Phys. Rev. D **90**, 033016 (2014) [arXiv:1407.2556 [hep-ph]].

[8] S. Faller and T. Mannel, "Light-Quark Decays in Heavy Hadrons", Phys. Lett. B **750**, 653 (2015) [arXiv:1503.06088 [hep-ph]].

[9] H. Y. Cheng and B. Tseng, "Nonleptonic weak decays of charmed baryons", Phys. Rev. D **46**, 1042 (1992) [Phys. Rev. D **55**, 1697(E) (1997)]; "Cabibbo allowed nonleptonic weak decays of charmed baryons", Phys. Rev. D **48**, 4188 (1993) [hep-ph/9304286].

[10] G. Buchalla, A. J. Buras and M. E. Lautenbacher, "Weak decays beyond leading logarithms", Rev. Mod. Phys. **68**, 1125 (1996) [hep-ph/9512380].

[11] A. Chodos, R. L. Jaffe, K. Johnson and C. B. Thorn, "Baryon Structure in the Bag Theory", Phys. Rev. D **10**, 2599 (1974); T. A. DeGrand, R. L. Jaffe, K. Johnson and J. E. Kiskis, "Masses and Other Parameters of the Light Hadrons", Phys. Rev. D **12**, 2060 (1975).

[12] M. Jamin and M. Neubert, "Diquark Decay Constants From QCD Sum Rules", Phys. Lett. B **238**, 387 (1990); M. Neubert and B. Stech, "A Consistent analysis of the $\Delta I = 1/2$ rule in strange particle decays", Phys. Rev. D **44**, 775 (1991).

[13] J. F. Nieves and P. B. Pal, "Generalized Fierz identities", Am. J. Phys. **72**, 1100 (2004) [hep-ph/0306087].

[14] B. Stech, "$\Delta I = 1/2$ Rule and Consequences for D, B Decays and ϵ'/ϵ", Phys. Rev. D **36**, 975 (1987).

[15] K. A. Olive *et al.* [Particle Data Group], Chin. Phys. C **38**, 090001 (2014) and 2015 update.

[16] M. B. Voloshin, "Remarks on measurement of the decay $\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda_b \pi^-$", arXiv:1510.05568 [hep-ph].